# CHAPTER 26

# REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES; REASONABLE AND PRUDENT MEASURES; TERMS AND CONDITIONS; INCIDENTAL TAKE STATEMENT; CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS; REINITIATION NOTICE

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
26 Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives & Reasonable and Prudent Measures	26-2
26.1 RPA Introduction	26-2
26.1.1 Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives	26-4
26.1.2 Points System Overview: Element 1(c)	26-5
26.2 Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives for Each Species and Pesticide	26-10
26.2.1 Chlorpyrifos RPA	26-10
26.2.2 Diazinon RPA	26-18
26.2.3 Malathion RPA	26-20
26.3 RPM Introduction	26-25
26.4 Incidental Take Statement	26-26
26.4.1 Amount or Extent & Effects of Take	26-26
26.5 Terms and Conditions	26-29
26.6 Conservation Recommendations	26-30
26.7 Reinitiation Notice	26-31

# 26 REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVES & REASONABLE AND PRUDENT MEASURES

#### 26.1 RPA Introduction

When the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) concludes that an action is likely to jeopardize an Endangered Species Act (ESA)-listed species or destroy or adversely modify critical habitat, NMFS suggests a reasonable and prudent alternative (RPA) that would allow the action to proceed in compliance with section 7(a)(2) and that can be taken by the action agency and the applicant (ESA Section 7(a)(3)(A)). Joint NMFS and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regulations (50 CFR §402.02) implementing section 7 define "jeopardize the continued existence of" means "to engage in an action that reasonably would be expected, directly or indirectly, to reduce appreciably the likelihood of both the survival and recovery of a ESA-listed species in the wild by reducing the reproduction, numbers, or distribution of that species" (50 CFR §402.02). As noted above, NMFS relies on statutory language to determine adverse modification.

The NMFS' implementing regulations define reasonable and prudent alternatives as alternative actions, identified during formal consultation, that: (1) can be implemented in a manner consistent with the intended purpose of the action; (2) can be implemented consistent with the scope of the action agency's legal authority and jurisdiction; (3) are economically and technologically feasible; and (4) NMFS believes would avoid the likelihood of jeopardizing the continued existence of ESA-listed species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat (50 CFR §402.02). The overarching requirement is that an RPA must be capable of avoiding jeopardizing ESA-listed species and adversely modifying critical habitat – all other elements of the definition must be evaluated within this context (*Greenpeace v. NMFS*, 55 F. Supp. 2d 1248, 1268 (W.D. Wa. 1999)). NMFS in the preamble to the final section 7 regulations make clear that the overriding consideration is whether a RPA avoids the likelihood of jeopardy. NMFS notes that the action agency's responsibility "permeates the full range of discretionary authority held by the action agency." Thus, NMFS can specify an RPA that involves the maximum exercise of the action agency's authority when the Services deem necessary to avoid the likelihood of jeopardy (51 FR 19926, 19937 (June 3, 1986)).

The other three factors are intended to implement the statutory phrase "can be taken." The third factor, technological and economic feasibility, refers to the ability of the federal agency to implement the RPA: "[t]he requirement that a RPA be 'economically and technologically feasible' only requires that the Corps have the resources and technology necessary to implement the RPA." In Re: Operation of the Missouri River System Litigation. 363 F. Supp. 2d 1145, 1161 (D. Minn. 2004), citing Kandra v. U.S., 145 F.Supp. 2d 1192, 1207 (D. Ore.) ("the RPAs must be economically and technically feasible for the government to implement."); see also San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority v. Jewell, 2014 WL 975130 at 38-40 (C.A.9 (Cal.)). This regulatory factor was included in the final section 7 implementing regulations in response to a comment, without further explanation or discussion. The ESA contains no requirement for analysis of economic impacts resulting from implementation of a RPA, and the insertion of the phrase "economically feasible" in regulation cannot create this requirement. Any obligation that NMFS "balance the benefit to the species against the economic and technical burden on the industry before approving an RPA would be fundamentally inconsistent with the purposes of the

ESA and with case law interpreting the Act." *Greenpeace v. NMFS*, 55 F. Supp. 2d 1248, 1267 (W.D. Wash. 1999). While the Services will defer in most cases to the action agency's expertise as to whether a RPA is reasonable, including whether the RPA is technologically and economically feasible, the Services cannot abdicate their duty to formulate and recommend RPAs (51 FR at 19952). However, the action agency may choose or may be obligated to conduct an economic analysis and to evaluate impacts to interests other than the applicants when it implements a RPA pursuant to its authorities.

In this Opinion, NMFS concluded that the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) proposed registration of chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion is likely to jeopardize 38 listed species and likely to adversely modify or destroy the designated critical habitat of 37 species. NMFS reached these conclusions because predicted concentrations of these three a.i.s are likely to have direct and indirect adverse effects to these species and to the primary biological features of their designated critical habitat. As a result, affected species are likely to suffer reductions in viability from one or more of the a.i.s given the severity of expected changes in abundance and productivity associated with the proposed action. These adverse effects are expected to appreciably reduce the likelihood of both the survival and recovery of these listed species and reduce the conservation value of some of the species' designated critical habitat.

The RPA accounts for the following issues: (1) the action will result in exposure to other chemical stressors in addition to the a.i. that may increase the risk of the action to ESA-listed species, including unspecified inert ingredients, adjuvants, and tank mixes; (2) exposure to chemical mixtures containing the a.i.s and other chemical compounds may result in greater toxicity; and (3) exposure to other chemicals and physical stressors (e.g., temperature) in the baseline habitat will likely intensify response to the a.i.s.

The action as implemented under the RPA will remove the likelihood of jeopardy and of destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat by reducing exposure of the stressors of the action. In the proposed RPA, NMFS does not attempt to ensure there is no take of ESA-listed species. NMFS concludes that take will likely occur, and has provided an incidental take statement exempting that take from the take prohibitions as long as the action is conducted in compliance with the terms and conditions of the incidental take statement. Avoiding take altogether would most likely entail canceling registration, or prohibiting all use in watersheds inhabited by listed species. The goal of the RPA is to reduce exposure to ensure that the action is not likely to jeopardize ESA-listed species or destroy or adversely modify critical habitat.

For each active ingredient, the elements of the RPA apply only to the range of the ESUs/DPSs where NMFS has determined that EPA cannot ensure that its registration of that a.i. avoids jeopardy or the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat (Chapter 25). These elements rely upon recognized practices for reducing loading of pesticide products into aquatic habitats.

Overall, the RPA listed here focus on reducing exposure potential to listed species and their habitats by targeting risk reduction measures that effectively reduce drift and runoff. The RPA include pesticide use restrictions that shall be specified on Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) labels of all pesticide products containing the three active ingredients; this shall be accomplished by incorporating the required elements of the RPA into the "Directions for Use" section of the FIFRA labels or on EPA Endangered Species Protection

Program Bulletins that serve as enforceable extensions to these labels (https://www.epa.gov/endangered-species/endangered-species-protection-bulletins).

The RPA listed here also incorporates risk reduction measures for pesticide users that participate in conservation activities. These include: 1) installing/maintaining riparian systems alongside aquatic habitats, and 2) participation in a recognized pesticide stewardship plan. Pesticide users that take advantage of these activities receive full points for required risk reduction measures for drift and runoff/drainage.

Riparian areas occur alongside watercourses or water bodies and are typically distinct from surrounding lands due to their unique soil and vegetation characteristics that are influenced by the hydrologic conditions of the soil. Pesticides can move from treated agricultural and forested areas via spray drift and surface water runoff into the broader environment. Riparian areas filter runoff and intercept drift thereby reducing loading into off target water bodies. Generally, the use of riparian areas, coupled with low-drift application methods, substantially reduce drift deposition and runoff into sensitive aquatic habitats adjacent to pesticide use sites. Therefore, a functional riparian zone substantially reduces pesticide loading, potentially negating the need for no-spray buffers. The effectiveness in reducing pesticide loading depends on site-specific factors such as dimensions, type, and complexity of the riparian vegetation.

Pesticide stewardship plans<sup>1</sup>, such as Salmon-Safe, work with landowners to create a management plan that reduces or eliminates use of pesticides thereby removing potential exposure to listed species and their habitats. Therefore, landowners that participate in such plans would receive full credit for required risk reduction measures.

#### 26.1.1 Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives

Five distinct elements are required to ensure jeopardy is avoided and to ensure designated critical habitat is not destroyed or modified. These elements are:

- 1. Reduce pesticide loading for high risk use sites;
- 2. Limit the frequency of application to once per year for persistent pesticides i.e., chlorpyrifos;
- 3. Limit area of application for mosquito control;
- 4. Limit area of application for wide area use;
- 5. Employ an effectiveness monitoring plan.

Element 1 involves three options which EPA can implement through label revisions that would reduce pesticide loading in listed species aquatic habitats (Table 1). The first of these options changes the action by prohibiting the use of high risk uses within a species range and/or modifying labels based on actual usage. In the second option, EPA could require specific no application buffers and mandate a 6 meter vegetative filter strip for all high risk uses within the species range. The third option provides flexibility for pesticide users to select risk reduction measures using the point system approach described below. This option includes a variety of risk reduction measures including no-spray buffers, vegetative filter strips, spray drift reduction technologies, and participation in pesticide stewardship programs such as "Salmon-Safe".

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NMFS approval of stewardship plan required to receive risk reduction credit

**Table 1. RPA Elements** 

RPA Element	Description
Element 1	Reduce pesticide loading for all high risk use sites. Choose 1(a) or 1(b) or 1(c).
	1(a) Remove label authorization for all high risk uses. If current usage on use sites effectively reduces exposure <sup>2</sup> , modify labels to reflect current usage.
	1(b) Modify labels to include standard buffers and vegetative filter strips: 300 meter no-spray buffer for all aerial applications; 150 meter buffer for all ground applications; 6 meter vegetative filter strip for all applications.
	l(c) Point System. Implement a combination of risk reduction measures to reduce pesticide drift, runoff, and drainage.
Element 2	Limit the frequency of application to once per year for persistent pesticides e.g. chlorpyrifos.
Element 3	Restrict mosquito applications to residential and developed areas within species' range.
Element 4	Restrict wide area use to residential and developed areas with spot treatment only.
Element 5	EPA shall, in close coordination with NMFS Office of Protected Resources, develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring plan to ensure the RPA(s) selected is/are feasible, effective, and implemented.

# 26.1.2 Points System Overview: Element 1(c)

Pesticide end-users could also follow a simple point system to arrive at sufficient risk reduction measures. The points system is based on the European Union's Mitigating the Risks of Plant Protection Products in the Environment, referred to as MAgPIE (Alix et al. 2017). While the goal of MAgPIE was to develop a harmonized approach for risk management among EU countries, the approach achieves quantifiable reductions in pesticide loading while allowing maximum flexibility for the grower/applicator. It also rewards landowners who are already implementing reduction measures such as Best Management Practices (BMPs) that reduce loading and improve habitat for listed species.

Important aspects of the points approach:

- The pesticide applicator can choose from a list of risk reduction measures (e.g. Table 2) listed on EPA's Bulletins Live website.
- Each risk reduction measure on the list has a point value based on its effectiveness at reducing loading from drift and runoff/drainage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Requires NMFS concurrence that EPA-proposed alternative based on usage information effectively reduces exposure

- The applicator can choose which risk reduction measures to implement as long as the required number of points are achieved for each exposure pathway (drift and runoff/drainage).
- The point system is only required for high risk uses. High risk uses are those which received a high rating for effect of exposure and a high or medium rating for likelihood of exposure as presented in the Effects of the Proposed Action.

Risk reduction measures and associated points are presented below in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4. The RPA and RPM for each of the three pesticides apply to applications on high risk use sites within 300 meters adjacent to, or that drain to listed species aquatic habitats for which jeopardy or adverse modification of designated critical habitat was determined.

Table 2. Chlorpyrifos Risk Reduction Measures and Associated Points

Drift Measures	Estimated % reduction in loading	Points	Runoff/drainage Measures	Estimated % reduction in loading	Points
No Spray Drift Buffers:			No Spray Buffer ≥300		
Ground boom <sup>1</sup>			meters to listed species		
/chemigation buffer:			habitat or water that drains	99	80
10 meters	25	5	to habitat		
20 meters	60	40			
100 meters	90	70			
200 meters	95	75			
300 meters	99	80			
Air blast buffer <sup>2</sup> :					
20 meters	40	20			
100 meters	99	80			
Aerial buffer <sup>3</sup> :					
100 meters	60	40			
300 meters	99	80			
Spray Drift Reduction			Vegetated filter strip <sup>5</sup> :		
Technology <sup>4</sup> (nozzles, etc.):				40	20
	25-50	20	5 meters 10 meters	65	45
Category one Category two	50-75	45	20 meters	80	60
Category three	75-90	65	20 meters		
	>90	75	Inter row	50	30
Category four Granular treatment	99	80		30	
Granular treatment	99	80	Bunds <sup>5</sup> :	40	20
			Edge of field	40 50	30
			In-field		
Spot Applications < 0.1 A <sup>6</sup>	99	80	Spot Applications <0.1A <sup>6</sup>	99	80
			Vegetated ditches <sup>5</sup>	50	30
Riparian plantings <sup>7</sup>	27-36	10	No-till or reduced tillage <sup>5</sup>	50	30
			Retention pond <sup>5</sup>	75	55
Participation in recognized	99	80	Participation in recognized	99	80
stewardship program			stewardship program		
Functional riparian system alongside water ways, > 10 meters wide	99	80	Functional riparian system alongside water ways, > 10 meters wide	99	80

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Ground Boom – point deposition estimates compared to 25 foot ground application buffer: low boom, very fine to fine distribution, 50th percentile distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Orchard Airblast - point deposition estimates for sparse orchard compared to 50 foot airblast application buffer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Aerial – point deposition estimates compared to 150 foot aerial application buffer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> EPA may have not verified any products yet (https://www.epa.gov/reducing-pesticide-drift/epa-verified-and-rated-drift-reduction-technologies).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MAgPIE. 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Assumes median field size of 0.278 km² (Yan and Roy 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Washington State Department of Agriculture riparian vegetation pilot study (2015)

Table 3. Diazinon Risk Reduction Measures and Associated Points

Drift Measures	Estimated % reduction in loading	Points	Runoff/drainage Measures	Estimated % reduction in loading	Points
No Spray Drift Buffers:			No Spray Buffer ≥300		
Ground boom <sup>1</sup>			meters to listed species		
/chemigation buffer:			habitat or water that drains	99	80
10 meters	90	70	to habitat		
Air blast buffer <sup>2</sup> :					
10 meters	80	60			
20 meters	95	75			
Aerial buffer <sup>3</sup> :					
10 meters	55	35			
20 meters	70	50			
100 meters	95	75			
Spray Drift Reduction			Vegetated filter strip <sup>5</sup> :		
Technology <sup>4</sup> (nozzles, etc.):			regenteer inter sarp ,		
Category one			5 meters	40	20
Category two	25-50	20	10 meters	65	45
Category three	50-75	45	20 meters	80	60
Category four	75-90	65			
<b>.</b>	>90	75	Inter row	50	30
Granular treatment	99	80	Bunds <sup>5</sup> :		
			Edge of field	40	20
			In-field	50	30
Spot Applications <0.1 A <sup>6</sup>	99	80	Spot Applications < 0.1A <sup>6</sup>	99	80
			Vegetated ditches <sup>5</sup>	50	30
Riparian plantings <sup>7</sup>	27-36	10	No-till or reduced tillage <sup>5</sup>	50	30
			Retention pond <sup>5</sup>	75	55
Participation in recognized	99	80	Participation in recognized	99	80
stewardship program			stewardship program		
Functional riparian system	99	80	Functional riparian system	99	80
alongside water ways, > 10 meters wide			alongside water ways, > 10 meters wide		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Ground Boom – point deposition estimates compared to field edge (1 m buffer): low boom, very fine to fine distribution, 50th percentile distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Orchard Airblast - point deposition estimates for sparse orchard compared to field edge (1m buffer).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  AgDrift Tier 1 Aerial – point deposition estimates compared to field edge (1 meter buffer)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> EPA may have not verified any products yet (<u>https://www.epa.gov/reducing-pesticide-drift/epa-verified-and-rated-drift-reduction-technologies</u>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MAgPIE 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Assumes median field size of 0.278 km² (Yan and Roy 2016)

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  Washington State Department of Agriculture riparian vegetation pilot study (2015)

Table 4. Malathion Risk Reduction Measures and Associated Points

Drift Measures	Estimated % reduction in loading	Points	Runoff/drainage Measures	Estimated % reduction in loading	Points
No Spray Drift Buffers: Ground boom <sup>1</sup>			No Spray Buffer ≥300 meters to listed species		
/chemigation buffer: 10 meters	90	70	habitat or water that drains to habitat	99	80
Air blast buffer <sup>2</sup> :  10 meters 20 meters	80 95	60 75			
Aerial buffer <sup>3</sup> :  20 meters 100 meters 150 meters	35 85 90	15 65 70			
Spray Drift Reduction Technology <sup>4</sup> (nozzles,			Vegetated filter strip <sup>5</sup> : 5 meters	40 65	20 45
etc.):  Category one Category two	25-50 50-75	20 45	10 meters 20 meters	80	60
Category three Category four	75 <b>-</b> 90 >90	65 75	Inter row	50	30
Granular treatment	99	80	Bunds <sup>5</sup> :  Edge of field  In-field	40 50	20 30
Spot Applications <0.1 A <sup>6</sup>	99	80	Spot Applications < 0.1A <sup>6</sup>	99	80
			Vegetated ditches <sup>5</sup>	50	30
Riparian plantings <sup>7</sup>	27-36	10	No-till or reduced tillage <sup>5</sup>	50	30
			Retention pond <sup>5</sup>	75	55
Participation in recognized stewardship program	99	80	Participation in recognized stewardship program	99	80
Functional riparian system alongside water ways, > 10 meters wide	99	80	Functional riparian system alongside water ways, > 10 meters wide	99	80

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Ground Boom – point deposition estimates compared to field edge (1 m buffer): low boom, very fine to fine distribution, 50th percentile distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AgDrift Tier 1 Orchard Airblast - point deposition estimates for sparse orchard compared to field edge (1m buffer).

 $<sup>^3 \</sup>textit{AgDrift Tier 1 Aerial-Fine to medium distribution, point deposition estimates compared to 25 foot non-ULV aerial buffer.}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Range corresponds with EPA star program (https://www.epa.gov/reducing-pesticide-drift/epa-verified-and-rated-drift-reduction-technologies).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MA<sub>9</sub>PIE 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Assumes median field size of 0.278 km² (Yan and Roy 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Washington State Department of Agriculture riparian vegetation pilot study (2015)

# 26.2 Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives for Each Species and Pesticide

This section describes chemical-specific RPA elements for each of the ESA-listed species for which jeopardy or adverse modification of designated critical habitats was determined.

# 26.2.1 Chlorpyrifos RPA

- Reduce pesticide loading for all high risk use sites.
  - o 1(a) Remove label authorization for all high risk uses. If current usage on use sites effectively reduces exposure, modify labels to reflect current usage.
  - 0 1(b) Modify labels to include 300 meter no-spray buffer for all aerial applications; 150 meter buffer for all ground applications; 6 meter vegetative filter strip for all applications.
  - o 1(c) Point System. Implement a combination of risk reduction measures to reduce pesticide drift and runoff (Table 5).
- Limit the frequency of application to once per year.
- Restrict mosquito applications to residential and developed areas within species' range.
- Restrict wide area use to residential and developed areas with spot treatment only.
- EPA shall, in close coordination with NMFS Office of Protected Resources, develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring plan to ensure the elements selected are feasible, effective, and implemented.

Table 5. High risk uses for chlorpyrifos and risk reduction points required for drift and runoff/drainage

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
Chum salmon,	Right of Way	Right of Way	80 drift	
Columbia River	Pasture	Pasture	80 runoff	
Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) (T)	Developed	Developed		
Chum salmon, Hood	Managed Forest	Managed Forest	80 drift	
Canal summer-run	Right of Way	Right of Way	80 runoff	
ESU (T)	Pasture	Pasture		
	Developed	Developed		
Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
California coastal ESU	Managed Forest	Managed Forest	80 runoff	
(T)	Right of Way	Right of Way		
	Developed	Developed		
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Central Valley spring-	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 runoff	
run ESU (T)	Right of Way	Right of Way		
	Developed	Developed		

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
Chinook salmon, Lower Columbia River	Other Crops Corn Managed Forest Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Cotton Other Row Crops  Managed Forest Right of Way	Other Crops Corn Managed Forest Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Cotton Other Row Crops Managed Forest Right of Way	80 drift 80 runoff	
ESU (T)	Pasture Developed Christmas Trees Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Wheat Other Grains	Pasture Developed Christmas Trees Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Wheat Other Grains	80 runorr	
Chinook salmon, Puget Sound ESU (T)	Managed Forest Right of Was Developed Pasture Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Grains Wheat	Managed Forest Right of Was Developed Pasture Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Grains Wheat	80 drift 80 runoff	
Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)	Pasture Right of Way Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Managed Forest Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops	Pasture Right of Way Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Managed Forest Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops	80 drift 80 runoff	

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)	Pasture Managed Forest Right of Way Wheat Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains	Pasture Managed Forest Right of Way Wheat Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains	80 drift 80 runoff	
Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pastures Wheat Right of Way Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground Orchards and Vineyards Corn	Managed Forest Pastures Wheat Right of Way Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground Orchards and Vineyards Corn	80 drift 80 runoff	
Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn	80 drift 80 runoff	
Chinook salmon, Upper Willamette River ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Wheat Christmas Trees Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other grains Other Row Crops	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Wheat Christmas Trees Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other grains Other Row Crops	80 drift 80 runoff	

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses				
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage		
Coho salmon, Central California coast ESU (E)	Right of Way Pasture Developed Managed Forest Orchards and Vineyards	Right of Way Pasture Developed Managed Forest Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff		
Coho salmon, Lower Columbia River ESU (E)	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture Developed	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture Developed	80 drift 80 runoff		
Coho salmon, Oregon coast ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed	80 drift 80 runoff		
Coho salmon, S. Oregon and N. Calif coasts ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Other Crops	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Other Crops	80 drift 80 runoff		
Sockeye, Ozette Lake ESU (T)	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture	80 drift 80 runoff		
Sockeye, Snake River ESU (E)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way	80 drift 80 runoff		
Steelhead, California Central Valley ESU (T)	Pasture Orchards and Vineyards Right of Way Developed Other Crops Managed Forest Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit What Other Grains Cotton Other Row Crops	Pasture Orchards and Vineyards Right of Way Developed Other Crops Managed Forest Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit What Other Grains Cotton Other Row Crops	80 drift 80 runoff		
Steelhead, Central California coast ESU (T)	Right of Way Pasture Developed Managed Forest Orchards and Vineyards Other grains Other Crops	Right of Way Pasture Developed Managed Forest Orchards and Vineyards Other grains Other Crops	80 drift 80 runoff		

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
	Wheat	Wheat		
Steelhead, Lower Columbia River ESU (T)	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff	
	Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Wheat Other Grains	Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Wheat Other Grains		
Steelhead, Middle Columbia River ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Wheat Other Crops Developed Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Row Crops	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Wheat Other Crops Developed Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Row Crops	80 drift 80 runoff	
Steelhead, Northern California ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Golf Courses Orchards and Vineyards	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Golf Courses Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff	
Steelhead, Puget Sound ESU (T)	Managed Forests Right of Way Developed Pasture	Managed Forests Right of Way Developed Pasture	80 drift 80 runoff	
Steelhead, Snake River Basin ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Wheat Right of Way Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Grains Orchards and Vineyards Corn	Managed Forest Pasture Wheat Right of Way Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Grains Orchards and Vineyards Corn	80 drift 80 runoff	

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses				
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage		
Steelhead, South- Central California coast ESU (T)	Pasture Right of Way Orchards and Vineyards Developed Managed Forest Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Grains Wheat Corn Cotton	Pasture Right of Way Orchards and Vineyards Developed Managed Forest Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Grains Wheat Corn Cotton	80 drift 80 runoff		
Steelhead, Southern California ESU (E)	Right of Way Developed Pasture Managed Forest Golf Courses Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Crops Other Grains Cotton Corn	Right of Way Developed Pasture Managed Forest Golf Courses Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Crops Other Grains Cotton Corn	80 drift 80 runoff		
Steelhead, Upper Columbia River ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn	80 drift 80 runoff		
Steelhead, Upper Willamette River ESU (T)	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Other Crops Christmas Trees Wheat Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn	Managed Forest Pasture Right of Way Developed Other Crops Christmas Trees Wheat Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff		

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses				
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage		
	Other Grains Golf Courses Other Row Crops	Corn Other Grains Golf Courses Other Row Crops			
Eulachon, Pacific smelt, Southern Distinct Population Segment (DPS) (T)	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture Developed	Managed Forest Right of Way Pasture Developed	80 drift 80 runoff		
Green sturgeon, Southern DPS (T)	Right of Way Pasture Managed Forest Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Golf Courses Other Row Crops	Right of Way Pasture Managed Forest Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Golf Courses Other Row Crops	80 drift 80 runoff		
Shortnose sturgeon (E)	Managed Forest Right of Way Developed Pasture Soybean Corn	Managed Forest Right of Way Developed Pasture Soybean Corn	80 drift 80 runoff		
Atlantic sturgeon, Carolina DPS (E)	Managed Forest Right of Way Soybeans Pasture Corn Developed Cotton Other Crops Wheat	Managed Forest Right of Way Soybeans Pasture Corn Developed Cotton Other Crops Wheat	80 drift 80 runoff		
Atlantic sturgeon, Chesapeake Bay DPS (E)	Right of Way Managed Forest Soybean Developed Corn Pasture Golf Courses Cotton	Right of Way Managed Forest Soybean Developed Corn Pasture Golf Courses Cotton	80 drift 80 runoff		

Chlorpyrifos	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses		
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage
	Wheat	Wheat	
Atlantic sturgeon, Gulf	Right of Way	Right of Way	80 drift
of Maine DPS (T)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff
, ,	Pasture	Pasture	
	Managed forest	Managed forest	
Atlantic sturgeon, New	Right of Way	Right of Way	80 drift
York Bight DPS (E)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff
	Managed Forest	Managed Forest	
	Pasture	Pasture	
	Corn	Corn	
	Soybeans	Soybeans	
	Other Crops	Other Crops	
	Golf Courses	Golf Courses	
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground	
	Wheat	Fruit	
	Orchards and Vineyards	Wheat	
		Orchards and Vineyards	
Atlantic sturgeon,	Managed Forest	Managed Forest	80 drift
South Atlantic DPS	Pasture	Pasture	80 runoff
(E)	Right of Way	Right of Way	
	Developed	Developed	
	Cotton	Cotton	
	Other Crops	Other Crops	
	Corn	Corn	
	Other Row Crops	Other Row Crops	
	Soybeans	Soybeans	
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	
	Wheat	Wheat	
Smalltooth sawfish,	Managed Forest	Managed Forest	80 drift
U.S. DPS.*	Right of Way	Right of Way	80 runoff
	Pasture	Pasture	
	Developed	Developed	
	Golf Course	Golf Course	
	Orchards	Orchards	
Killer whale, Southern Resident DPS	Implementation of RPAs for al	l west coast Chinook ESUs	

<sup>\*</sup>For smalltooth sawfish, risk reduction measures are only required at use sites within the species nursery areas, as opposed to within the entire species range.

#### 26.2.2 Diazinon RPA

- Reduce pesticide loading for all high risk use sites.
  - o 1(a) Remove label authorization for all high risk uses. If current usage on use sites effectively reduces exposure, modify labels to reflect current usage.
  - 1(b) Modify labels to include 300 meter no-spray buffer for all aerial applications; 150 meter buffer for all ground applications; 6 meter vegetative filter strip for all applications.
  - o 1(c) Point System. Implement a combination of risk reduction measures to reduce pesticide drift and runoff (Table 6)
- EPA shall, in close coordination with NMFS Office of Protected Resources, develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring plan to ensure the RPA(s) selected is/are feasible, effective, and implemented.

Table 6. High risk uses for diazinon and risk reduction points required for drift and runoff

Diazinon	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses		
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage
Chinook salmon, Central Valley spring- run ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Lower Columbia River ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Puget Sound ESU (T)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Chinook salmon, Upper Willamette River ESU (T)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff
Coho salmon, Central California coast ESU (E)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff

Diazinon	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses		
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage
Sockeye, Snake River	Vegetables & Ground Fruit	Vegetables & Ground Fruit	80 drift
ESU (E)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 runoff
Steelhead, California Central Valley ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Central California coast ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Lower Columbia River ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Middle Columbia River ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Northern California ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Snake River	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift
Basin ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 runoff
Steelhead, South- Central California coast ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Southern	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift
California ESU (E)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 runoff
Steelhead, Upper Columbia River ESU (T)	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Orchards and Vineyards Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 drift 80 runoff
Steelhead, Upper Willamette River ESU (T)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift 80 runoff
Green sturgeon,	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 drift
Southern DPS (T)	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	80 runoff
Atlantic sturgeon, New	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	70 drift
York Bight DPS (E)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	70 runoff
Atlantic sturgeon, South Atlantic DPS (E)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	70 drift 70 runoff
Killer whale, Southern Resident DPS	Implementation of RPAs for al	ll west coast Chinook ESUs	L

#### 26.2.3 Malathion RPA

- Reduce pesticide loading for all high risk use sites.
  - o 1(a) Remove label authorization for all high risk uses. If current usage on use sites effectively reduces exposure, modify labels to reflect current usage.
  - 0 1(b) Modify labels to include 300 meter no-spray buffer for all aerial applications; 150 meter buffer for all ground applications; 6 meter vegetative filter strip for all applications.
  - 1(c) Point System. Implement a combination of risk reduction measures to reduce pesticide drift and runoff (Table 7).
- Restrict mosquito applications to residential and developed areas within species' range.
- EPA shall, in close coordination with NMFS Office of Protected Resources, develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring plan to ensure the RPA(s) selected is/are feasible, effective, and implemented.

Table 7. High risk uses for malathion and risk reduction points required for drift and runoff

Malathion	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses		
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-Spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage
Chum salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift
Columbia River ESU (T)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff
Chum salmon, Hood	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift
Canal summer-run ESU (T)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff
Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift
California coastal ESU	Developed	Developed	80 runoff
(T)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	
Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift
Central Valley spring-	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 runoff
run ESU (T)	Developed	Developed	
	Other Crops	Other Crops	
	Corn	Corn	
	Vegetables and Ground fruits	Vegetables and Ground	
	Wheat	fruits	
	Other Grains	Wheat	
	Cotton	Other Grains	
	Other Row Crops	Cotton	
		Other Row Crops	
Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift
Lower Columbia River	Developed	Developed	80 runoff
ESU (T)	Christmas Trees	Christmas Trees	
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	
	Other Crops	Other Crops	
	Vegetables and Ground fruit	Vegetables and Ground fruit	

Com Nurseries Other Grains	Malathion	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Com Nurseries Other Grains Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Puget Sound ESU (T) Pasture Vegetables and Ground Fruit Com Other Grains Other	Species		300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and	Points:	
Chinook salmon, Puget Sound ESU (T) Pasture Vogetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Grains Wheat Wheat Wheat Other Crops Corn Vogetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vogetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vogetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vogetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T) Pasture Pasture Sound Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Vogetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Grain		Corn			
Chinook salmon, Puget Sound ESU (T)		Nurseries	Nurseries		
Puget Sound ESU (T) Pasture Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Grains Wheat  Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Wineyards Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Pasture Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Pasture Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Corn Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn		Other Grains	Other Grains		
Vegetables and Ground Fruit Corn Other Grains Wheat  Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E) Orchards and Vineyards Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring-run ESU (E)  Pasture Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Chinook salmon, Pasture	Chinook salmon,	Developed	Developed	80 drift	
Corn Other Grains Wheat  Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)  Orbards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat  Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River fail-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River fail-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Grains Other Grains O	Puget Sound ESU (T)	Pasture	Pasture	80 runoff	
Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)  Corbards and Vineyards Other Crops Corm Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Ch	-	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
Chinook salmon, Pasture Developed Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Com Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Pasture Pasture Wheat Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Pasture Pasture Wheat Survey fall-run ESU (T) Developed Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Com Other Grains Other		Corn	Corn		
Chinook salmon, Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)  Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Corn Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook corn Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook corn Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook corn Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Upper Colum		Other Grains	Other Grains		
Sacramento River winter-run ESU (E)  Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Developed Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Grops Other		Wheat	Wheat		
winter-run ESU (E) Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Com Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Orchards and Vineyards Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Com Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Com Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Orchards and Vineyards Corn Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Orchards and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Other Crops Corm Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Crops Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Chinook salmon, Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Sacramento River	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Other Grains Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Spature Wheat Other Crops Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Vineyards Vegetables and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit	winter-run ESU (E)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Com  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook Salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit		Other Crops	Other Crops		
Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops Other Crops Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Crops Orchards and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Com Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E) Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit		Corn	Corn		
Other Grains Other Row Crops Other Row Crops Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Pasture Wheat Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Spature Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Com Com Com Com Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn		Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn		Wheat	Wheat		
Chinook salmon, Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Wheat  Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (E)  Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Cor		Other Grains	Other Grains		
Snake River fall-run ESU (T)  Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Co		Other Row Crops	Other Row Crops		
ESU (T)  Developed Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Chinook salmon,	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Other Crops Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn Corn	Snake River fall-run	Wheat	Wheat	80 runoff	
Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Pasture Wheat Wheat Wheat Wheat Other Crops Other Crops Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Corn  Pasture Pasture Pasture Pasture Pasture Pasture Pasture Pasture Porchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn  Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn  Corn  Corn  Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn  Corn  Vegetables and Ground Fruit	ESU (T)		Developed		
Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Corn					
Corn Other Grains  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon,  Chinook salmon, Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Corn Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River Spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Corn  Corn Corn  Corn Corn Corn		_			
Chinook salmon, Snake River Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon,  Chinook salmon,  ESU (T)  Chinook salmon,  Chinook salmon,  Chinook salmon,  Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Corn  Corn  Corhards and Vineyards Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Other Crops Other Crops Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit					
Chinook salmon, Snake River Snake River Spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Crops Spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Chinook salmon, Corchards and Vineyards Corn  Corn  Pasture Poveloped Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Pasture Pasture Pasture Pasture Poveloped Orchards and Vineyards Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit Vegetables and Ground Fruit		1	l .		
Snake River spring/summer run ESU (T)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Corn  Corn  Corn  Pasture Developed Developed Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Vegetables and Ground Fruit			<u> </u>		
spring/summer run ESU (T)  Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit			1		
ESU (T)  Developed Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Pasture Developed Developed Orchards and Vineyards Orchards and Vineyards Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit			i .	80 runoff	
Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn  Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Vegetables and Ground fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Vegetables and Ground Fruit		<u> </u>	1		
Orchards and Vineyards Corn Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E) Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	ESU (T)	_			
Corn Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E) Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Corn Pasture Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit					
Chinook salmon, Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Pasture Developed 80 drift 80 drift Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit			1		
Upper Columbia River spring-run ESU (E)  Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Developed Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Overloped Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	C1: 1 1		-	00.1:0	
spring-run ESU (E)  Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Orchards and Vineyards Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	•		i e		
Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Wheat Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	* *	-	1	80 runoff	
Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit	spring-run ESU (E)				
Vegetables and Ground Fruit   Vegetables and Ground Fruit			1		
		-	1		
C			1		
Corn Corn	China al- aal			90 Jai 0	
Chinook salmon, Pasture Pasture 80 drift					
Upper Willamette Developed Developed 80 runoff  Private FSLL (T) Other Grans		_	1	80 runott	
River ESU (T)  Other Crops Vegetables and Ground Fruit  Vegetables and Ground Fruit	River ESU (1)	-	-		

Malathion	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-Spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
	Wheat	Wheat		
	Christmas Trees	Christmas Trees		
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
	Corn	Corn		
	Other Grains	Other Grains		
	Other Row Crops	Other Row Crops		
Coho salmon, Central	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
California coast ESU	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
(E)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
Coho salmon, Lower	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Columbia River ESU (E)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
Coho salmon, Oregon	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
coast ESU (T)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
Coho salmon, S.	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Oregon and N. Calif	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
coasts ESU (T)	Other Crops	Other Crops		
Sockeye, Ozette Lake	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
ESU (T)			80 runoff	
Sockeye, Snake River	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
ESU (E)			80 runoff	
Steelhead, California	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Central Valley ESU	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 runoff	
(T)	Developed	Developed		
	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Corn	Corn		
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
	Wheat	Wheat		
	Other Grains	Other Grains		
	Cotton Other Resu Grans	Cotton		
Ctaallaad Cantual	Other Row Crops	Other Row Crops Pasture	80 drift	
Steelhead, Central California coast ESU	Pasture	1	80 runoff	
	Developed Orchards and Vineyards	Developed Orchards and Vineyards	00 1011011	
(T)	Other Grains	Other Grains		
	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Wheat	Wheat		
Steelhead, Lower	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Columbia River ESU	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
(T)	Christmas Trees	Christmas Trees	oo mion	
(-)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
	Corn	Corn		

Malathion	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-Spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
	Wheat	Wheat		
	Other Grains	Other Grains		
Steelhead, Middle	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Columbia River ESU	Wheat	Wheat	80 runoff	
(T)	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Developed	Developed		
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
	Corn	Corn		
	Other Row Crops	Other Row Crops		
Steelhead, Northern	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
California ESU (T)	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
( )	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
Steelhead, Puget	Developed	Developed	80 drift	
Sound ESU (T)	Pasture	Pasture	80 runoff	
Steelhead, Snake River	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Basin ESU (T)	Wheat	Wheat	80 runoff	
· /	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Developed	Developed		
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
	Other Grains	Other Grains		
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
	Corn	Corn		
Steelhead, South-	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Central California	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	80 runoff	
coast ESU (T)	Developed	Developed		
	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
	Other Grains	Other Grains		
	Wheat	Wheat		
	Corn	Corn		
	Cotton	Cotton		
Steelhead, Southern	Developed	Developed	80 drift	
California ESU (E)	Pasture	Pasture	80 runoff	
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit	Vegetables and Ground Fruit		
	Cotton	Cotton		
	Corn	Corn		
Steelhead, Upper	Pasture	Pasture	80 drift	
Columbia River ESU	Developed	Developed	80 runoff	
(T)	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards		
	Wheat	Wheat		
	Other Crops	Other Crops		
	Vegetables and Ground fruit	Vegetables and Ground fruit		

Malathion	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses			
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-Spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage	
	Corn	Corn		
Steelhead, Upper Willamette River ESU (T)	Pasture Developed Other Crops Christmas Trees Wheat	Pasture Developed Other Crops Christmas Trees Wheat	80 drift 80 runoff	
	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Row Crops	Vegetables and Ground Fruit Orchards and Vineyards Corn Other Grains Other Row Crops		
Eulachon, Pacific smelt, Southern DPS (T)	Pasture Developed	Pasture Developed	80 drift 80 runoff	
Green sturgeon, Southern DPS (T)	Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops	Pasture Developed Orchards and Vineyards Other Crops Corn Vegetables and Ground Fruit Wheat Other Grains Other Row Crops	70 drift 70 runoff	
Shortnose sturgeon (E)	Developed Pasture Corn	Developed Pasture Corn	70 drift 70 runoff	
Atlantic sturgeon, Carolina DPS (E)	Pasture Corn Developed Cotton Other Crops Wheat	Pasture Corn Developed Cotton Other Crops Wheat	70 drift 70 runoff	
Atlantic sturgeon, Chesapeake Bay DPS (E)	Developed Corn Pasture Cotton Wheat	Developed Corn Pasture Cotton Wheat	70 drift 70 runoff	
Atlantic sturgeon, Gulf	Developed	Developed	70 drift	
of Maine DPS (T)	Pasture	Pasture	70 runoff	
Atlantic sturgeon, New York Bight DPS (E)	Developed Pasture Corn Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit	Developed Pasture Corn Other Crops Vegetables and Ground fruit	70 drift 70 runoff	

Malathion	Risk Reduction Options for High Risk Uses		
Species	Remove label authorization for all high risk uses	No-Spray Buffer: 300m aerial application, 150m ground application; and 6m vegetative filter strip	Required Points: Drift Runoff/drainage
	Wheat	Wheat	
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	
Atlantic sturgeon,	Pasture	Pasture	70 drift
South Atlantic DPS	Developed	Developed	70 runoff
(E)	Cotton	Cotton	
	Other Crops	Other Crops	
	Corn	Com	
	Other Row Crops	Other Row Crops	
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	
	Wheat	Wheat	
Smalltooth sawfish,	Developed	Developed	80 drift
U.S. DPS*	Pasture	Pasture	80 runoff
	Orchards and Vineyards	Orchards and Vineyards	
Killer whale, Southern Resident DPS	Implementation of RPAs for al	·	

<sup>\*</sup>For smalltooth sawfish, risk reduction measures are only required at use sites within the species nursery areas, as opposed to within the entire species range.

#### 26.3 RPM Introduction

Section 7(b)(4) of the ESA requires that when a proposed agency action is found to be consistent with section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, either as proposed by the action agency or modified by a RPA, and the proposed action may incidentally take individuals of ESA-listed species, NMFS will issue a statement that specifies the impact of any incidental taking of endangered or threatened species ("incidental take statement" or "ITS"). To minimize such impacts, NMFS provides reasonable and prudent measures "RPM", and terms and conditions to implement the RPM. Action agency compliance with the terms and conditions provides an exemption from the prohibitions against "take" of listed species. NMFS believes the RPM and the implementing terms and conditions described below are necessary and appropriate to minimize the impacts of incidental take on threatened and endangered species. The measures described below are nondiscretionary, and must be undertaken by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency so that they become binding conditions for the exemption in section 7(o)(2) to apply. Section 7(b)(4) of the ESA requires that when a proposed agency action is found to be consistent with section 7(a)(2) of the ESA and the proposed action may incidentally take individuals of ESA-listed species, NMFS will issue a statement that specifies the impact of any incidental taking of endangered or threatened species. To minimize such impacts, reasonable and prudent measures, and term and conditions to implement the measures, must be provided. Only incidental take resulting from the agency actions and any specified reasonable and prudent measures and terms and conditions identified in the incidental take statement are exempt from the taking prohibition of section 9(a), pursuant to section 7(o) of the ESA.

# Reasonable and prudent measures (RPM)

"Reasonable and prudent measures" are nondiscretionary measures to minimize the amount or extent of incidental take (50 C.F.R. §402.02). The reasonable and prudent measures described below are necessary and appropriate to minimize the impacts of incidental take on threatened and endangered species:

- RPM 1. Revise all chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion product labels and develop relevant EPA Endangered Species Protection Plan Bulletins to conserve listed species.
- RPM 2. Develop user education program, and incident tracking and reporting system.

#### 26.4 Incidental Take Statement

Section 9(a)(1) of the ESA prohibits the taking of endangered species without a specific permit or exemption. Protective regulations adopted pursuant to section 4(d) of the ESA extend the prohibition to threatened species. Take is defined as to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct (50 CFR 222.102). Harm is further defined by NMFS an act which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife, and may to include significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury to listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, spawning, rearing, migrating, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR 222.102). Incidental take is defined as takings that result from, but are is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity conducted by the Federal agency or applicant (50 CFR 402.02). Under the terms of section 7(b)(4) and section 7(o)(2), taking that is incidental to and not intended as part of the agency action, whether implemented as proposed or as modified by reasonable and prudent alternatives, is not considered to be prohibited taking under the ESA provided that such taking is in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Incidental Take Statement. NMFS cannot issue an Incidental Take Statement to cover any take of marine mammals that would also be prohibited under the Marine Mammal Protection Act, unless such take has been authorized pursuant to section 101(a)(5) of that Act. Consequently, any exemption of incidental take of marine mammals under this Incidental Take Statement is conditional upon the issuance of an authorization for such take under the MMPA.

# 26.4.1 Amount or Extent & Effects of Take

Section 7 regulations require NMFS to specify the impact of any incidental take of endangered or threatened species; that is, the amount or extent, of such incidental taking on the species (50 C.F.R. §402.14(i)(1)(i)). The amount of take represents the number of individuals that are expected to be taken by actions. As described earlier in this Opinion, the proposed action for this consultation is EPA's registrations of all pesticides containing chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion for use as described on product labels. The proposed action includes (1) approved product labels containing chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion, (2) degradates and metabolites of chlorpyrifos, diazinon and malathion, (3) formulations, including other ingredients within formulations, (4) adjuvants, and (5) tank mixtures. EPA is required to reassess currently registered pesticide active ingredients every 15 years. The EPA authorizes use of these pesticide products for pest control purposes across multiple landscapes. The goal of this Opinion is to evaluate the impacts to NMFS' listed resources from the EPA's broad authorization of applied pesticide products.

For this Opinion, NMFS anticipates the general direct and indirect effects that would occur from EPA's registration of pesticide products to 77 listed species under NMFS' jurisdiction during the 15-year duration of the proposed action. The RPA are designed to reduce exposure but not eliminate it. Pesticide runoff and drift of chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion are most likely to reach streams and other aquatic sites when they are applied to crops and other land use settings located adjacent to wetlands, riparian areas, ditches, flood plain habitats, intermittent streams, nearshore estuarine and marine habitats. These inputs into aquatic habitats are especially high when rainfall immediately follows applications, or if wind conditions exacerbate inputs from drift. The effects of pesticides and other contaminants found in urban runoff, especially from areas with a high degree of impervious surfaces, may also exacerbate degraded water quality conditions of receiving waters. Urban runoff is also generally warmer in temperature, and elevated water temperature poses negative effects to many listed species. The range of effects of the 3 a.i.s on listed species includes killing species directly and reductions in prey leading to starvation and impaired growth. For example, impaired growth lends juveniles prone to becoming prey to predators, and starvation may make species more susceptible to disease. In addition, exposed individuals may change normal behaviors (e.g. feeding, sheltering, breeding, etc.). These results are not the purpose of the proposed action. Therefore, incidental take of listed species is reasonably certain to occur over the 15-year duration of the proposed action.

Given the variability of real-life conditions, the broad nature and scope of the proposed action, and the wide-ranging distributions of individuals of listed species, the best scientific and commercial data available are not sufficient to enable NMFS to estimate a specific amount of incidental take associated with the proposed action. As explained in the Description of the Proposed Action and the Effects of the Proposed Action sections, NMFS identified multiple uncertainties associated with the proposed action. Areas of uncertainty include:

- 1. Limited use and exposure data on stressors of the action for non-agricultural uses of these pesticides;
- 2. Minimal information on exposure and toxicity for pesticide formulations, adjuvants, and other/inert ingredients within registered formulations;
- 3. Minimal information on tank mixtures and associated exposure estimates;
- 4. Limited data on toxicity of environmental mixtures;
- 5. Variability in annual land use, crop cover, and pest pressure;
- 6. Temporal and spatial variability of individuals;
- 7. Pesticide concentrations in nearshore estuarine and marine habitats
- 8. Pesticide concentrations resulting from non-agricultural uses

Additionally, NMFS recognizes there are multiple impediments that reduce the likelihood of detecting take to listed species from the use of pesticides. It's important to place the significance of mortality incidents in the proper context. Vyas (1999) concluded that most wildlife mortality is unaccounted for as only a small fraction are likely observed, reported, and confirmed. The likelihood of detecting impacts becomes even more difficult in species with limited abundance. Sublethal impacts such as reduced reproduction are nearly impossible to detect without rigorous environmental monitoring. For these reasons, NMFS uses surrogates for the allowable extent of take of listed species, as described below within each of the species groupings.

#### **Anadromous and Marine Fish**

NMFS therefore identifies, as a surrogate for the allowable extent of take of anadromous and marine fish, the ability of this action to proceed without any fish kills within the action area attributed to the legal use of chlorpyrifos, diazinon or malathion, or any compounds, degradates, or mixtures affecting aquatic habitats containing listed species. Because of the difficulty of detecting mortality of listed species, individuals killed do not have to be listed species in order for their death to be considered a relevant surrogate for take. For example, salmonids are relatively sensitive to pesticides compared to other species of fish, so that if there are kills of other freshwater fishes attributed to use of these pesticides, it is likely that salmonids have also died, even if no dead salmonids can be located. In addition, if stream conditions due to pesticide use kill less sensitive fishes in certain areas, the potential for lethal and non-lethal takes in downstream areas increases. A fish kill is considered attributable to one of these three ingredients, its metabolites, or degradates, if any of the a.i.s is known to have been applied in the vicinity and may reasonably be supposed to have run off or drifted into the affected area, or if surface water samples or pathology indicate lethal levels of the a.i.(s).

NMFS notes that increased monitoring and study of the impact of these pesticides on water quality, particularly water quality in flood plain habitats, nearshore estuarine, and marine habitats will inform subsequent pesticide consultations and future incidental take statements. Such monitoring and studies will potentially allow other measures of the extent of take.

#### **Marine Invertebrates**

NMFS therefore identifies, as a surrogate for the allowable extent of take of marine invertebrates, the ability of this action to proceed without any mortality or adverse reproductive effects to corals or molluscs within the action area attributed to the legal use of chlorpyrifos, diazinon or malathion, or any compounds, degradates, or mixtures affecting aquatic habitats containing listed species. Because of the difficulty of detecting mortality of listed species, individuals killed or adversely affected do not have to be listed species in order for their death or adverse effects to be considered relevant surrogate for take. An adverse effect is considered attributable to one of these three ingredients, its metabolites, or degradates, if any of the a.i.s is known to have been applied in the vicinity and may reasonably be supposed to have run off or drifted into the affected area, or if surface water samples or pathology indicate lethal levels of the a.i.(s).

## Sea Turtles

NMFS therefore identifies, as a surrogate for the allowable extent of take sea turtles, the ability of this action to proceed without any mortality or sublethal effects to sea turtles including adverse impacts to swimming or reproduction within the action area attributed to the legal use of chlorpyrifos, diazinon or malathion, or any compounds, degradates, or mixtures affecting aquatic habitats containing listed species. Because of the difficulty of detecting mortality of listed species, individuals killed or adversely affected do not have to be listed species in order for their death or adverse effects to be considered relevant surrogate for take. An adverse effect is considered attributable to one of these three ingredients, its metabolites, or degradates, if any of the a.i.s is known to have been applied in the vicinity and may reasonably be supposed to have

run off or drifted into the affected area, or if surface water samples or pathology indicate lethal levels of the a.i.(s).

# **Pinnipeds**

NMFS therefore identifies, as a surrogate for the allowable extent of take of pinnipeds, the ability of this action to proceed without any mortality or adverse impacts to to pinniped swimming or reproduction attributed to the legal use of chlorpyrifos, diazinon or malathion, or any compounds, degradates, or mixtures affecting aquatic habitats containing listed species. Because of the difficulty of detecting mortality or other adverse effects to of listed species, individuals killed or adversely affected do not have to be listed species in order for their death or adverse effects to be considered relevant surrogate for take. An adverse effect is considered attributable to one of these three ingredients, its metabolites, or degradates, if any of the a.i.s is known to have been applied in the vicinity and may reasonably be supposed to have run off or drifted into the affected area, or if surface water samples or pathology indicate lethal levels of the a.i.(s).

# Cetaceans - Southern Resident Killer Whale (SRKW)

NMFS therefore identifies, as a surrogate for the allowable take of SRKW, the ability of this action to proceed without any mortality to Pacific Salmonids attributed to the legal use of chlorpyrifos, diazinon, or malathion. Salmon, in particular Chinook salmon, are the prey for SRKW. Currently, the numbers of Chinook and other salmon are insufficient to support increases in the SRKW population size. The reduction in production of Pacific salmon throughout their range that would occur under the Proposed Action would therefore result in harm to SRKW by further reducing prey availability, which may cause animals to forage for longer periods, travel to alternate locations, or abandon foraging efforts. The extent of take from the Proposed Action is not anticipated to cause direct take by serious injury or mortality to SRKWs. However, the Proposed Action is expected to result in take in the form of a reduction in available prey.

#### 26.5 Terms and Conditions

To be exempt from the prohibitions of section 9 of the ESA, the Environmental Protection Agency must comply with the following terms and conditions, which implement the Reasonable and Prudent Measures described above. These include the take minimization, monitoring and reporting measures required by the section 7 regulations (50 C.F.R. §402.14(i)). These terms and conditions are non-discretionary. If the Environmental Protection Agency fails to ensure compliance with these terms and conditions and their implementing reasonable and prudent measures, the protective coverage of section 7(o)(2) may lapse.

To address RPM number 1, EPA shall implement the following revisions on all chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion labels:

- a. Prohibit application of pesticide products when wind speeds are greater than or equal to 10 mph.
- b. Prohibit application of pesticide products when soil moisture is at field capacity, or when a storm event likely to produce runoff from the treated area is forecasted (by

- NOAA/National Weather Service, or other similar forecasting service) to occur within 48 hours following application.
- c. Prohibit co-application (tank mixing) with other neurotoxic pesticides (i.e., organophosphate, carbamate, pyrethroid, and neonicotinoid pesticides).

# To implement RPM number 2, EPA shall:

- a) Provide home owner and commercial applicator training on relevant endangered species and designated critical habitats including information on risk reduction measures, best management practices, etc.
- b) Report all incidents of mortality and adverse effects to non-target species that occur within the vicinity of the treatment area, including areas downstream and downwind, in the four days following application of and of these a.i.s to EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs (phone: 703-305-7090). Within one year of receipt of this Opinion, EPA shall submit an annual report to NMFS Office of Protected Resources that identifies the total number of non-target species affected and incident locations.
- c) EPA shall, in close coordination with NMFS Office of Protected Resources, develop and implement an effectiveness monitoring plan for aquatic habitats. A report summarizing annual monitoring data and including all raw data shall be submitted to NMFS Office of Protected Resources and will summarize annual monitoring data and provide all raw data.
- d) EPA shall include the following instructions requiring reporting of mortality events either on the labels for all products containing chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion in ESPP Bulletins:
  - NOTICE: Incidents where listed species appear injured or killed as a result of pesticide applications shall be reported to NMFS Office of Protected Resources at 301-713-1401 and EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs. The finder should leave the individuals alone, make note of any circumstances likely causing the death or injury, location and number of individuals involved, and take photographs, if possible. Individuals should generally not be disturbed unless circumstances arise where the individual is obviously injured or killed by pesticide exposure, or some unnatural cause. NMFS Office of Protected Resources or Office of Law Enforcement may request the finder to collect specimens or take other measures to ensure that evidence intrinsic to the specimen is preserved.
- e) EPA shall report to NMFS Office of Protected Resources any incidences regarding chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion effects on aquatic ecosystems added to its incident database that it has classified as probable or highly probable.
- f) EPA shall provide OPR a commencement date for annual reporting of monitoring results.

### 26.6 Conservation Recommendations

Section 7(a)(1) of the ESA directs Federal agencies to use their authorities to further the purposes of the ESA by carrying out conservation programs for the benefit of the threatened and endangered species. Conservation recommendations are discretionary agency activities to minimize or avoid adverse effects of a proposed action on ESA-listed species or critical habitat, to help implement recovery plans or develop information (50 C.F.R. §402.02).

The following conservation recommendations would provide information for future consultations involving future authorizations of pesticide active ingredients that may affect ESA-listed species:

- 1. Develop models that more accurately quantify pesticide exposure in estuarine and near-shore ocean environments.
- 2. Work with other appropriate federal, state, and local partners to determine efficacy of riparian area management methods in reducing pesticide loading from authorized uses especially the types of vegetation and width of riparian areas needed.
- 3. Identify and implement other methods that eliminate or significantly reduce pesticide loading into species' habitats.
- 4. Carryout educational outreach on pesticide risks to threatened and endangered species to pesticide users in high use agriculture and residential environments.
- 5. Develop improved methods for characterizing exposure from non-agricultural uses.
- 6. Develop criteria that addresses when pesticide-contaminated sediment is an important route of exposure to aquatic organisms.

In order for NMFS' Office of Protected Resources Endangered Species Act Interagency Cooperation Division to be kept informed of actions minimizing or avoiding adverse effects on, or benefiting, ESA-listed species or their critical habitat, the Environmental Protection Agency should notify the Endangered Species Act Interagency Cooperation Division of any conservation recommendations they implement in their final action.

#### **26.7** Reinitiation Notice

This concludes formal consultation for the Environmental Protection Agency's proposed registration of pesticide products containing chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and malathion to ESA-listed species under the jurisdiction of the NMFS. As 50 C.F.R. §402.16 states, reinitiation of formal consultation is required where discretionary Federal agency involvement or control over the action has been retained (or is authorized by law) and if:

- 1. The amount or extent of taking specified in the incidental take statement is exceeded.
- 2. New information reveals effects of the agency action that may affect ESA-listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered.
- 3. The identified action is subsequently modified in a manner that causes an effect to ESA-listed species or designated critical habitat that was not considered in this opinion.
- 4. A new species is listed or critical habitat designated under the ESA that may be affected by the action.

NMFS' analysis and conclusions are based on EPA's action. If changes to product labeling result in modifications to the action that were not considered in this Opinion, including but not limited to label modifications authorizing pesticide application to new locations, additional application methods, or increased application rates or numbers of applications, EPA must contact NMFS to discuss reinitiation. If reinitiation of consultation appears warranted due to one or more of the above circumstances, EPA must contact NMFS Office of Protected Resources, ESA Interagency Cooperation Division. In the event reinitiation conditions (1), (2), or (3) is met, reinitiation will be only for the a.i.(s) which meet that condition, not for all 3 a.i.s considered in the Opinion. If none of these reinitiation triggers are met within the next 15 years, then reinitiation will be required because the Opinion only covers the action for 15 years. It is recommended that EPA

request reinitiation with sufficient time prior to reaching 15 years to allow sufficient time to consult and to prevent lapse of coverage for the active ingredients in this Opinion.